

matter for special reasons and order of waiver was passed."

What is the special reason?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—You will please see:

"Towards the end of January 1949 the administrative control over His Highness the Maharaja's own Infantry and Body Guards was ordered to be transferred to the Government and the transfer took place by about the end of March 1949. During this interim period, the Palace authorities ordered the promotion of certain officers of these units without the approval of Government and allowed them increased rates of pay and allowances attached to their higher ranks. In May 1950, Government held that the promotions granted by the Palace were not in order and asked for recovery of the amounts paid to the officers as a result thereof. Out of the amount of Rs. 17,970 due for recovery on this account a sum of Rs. 11,289 only was realised and the balance of Rs. 6,681 still due from certain officers was waived."

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—I can multiply such instances, but in principle it may not be necessary and that I would like to stress again. Please see that proper accounts staff is provided in the office of a Departmental Head. Please see that the Departmental Head is made responsible for flow of expenditure and the accounts maintained by the Departments are tallied with those of the Accountant-General.

MYSORE UNIVERSITY BILL, 1955

CLAUSE 26—(Continued).

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, we will take up the discussion on clause 26 of the University Bill.

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, our only concern is that the Board of Appointments being a very important body, should consist of men who are concerned with the University and with

academic matters and extraneous influences should not play any part. The success of a university education depends upon the capacity and character of the teachers and in any scheme of educational reform necessary provisions must be made which will ensure that in the case of appointments to the staff of the University, merit alone will play an important part. In this amendment I find that the Board consists of three persons, that is, the Vice-Chancellor and two specialists in the subject to be selected by the Chancellor, and it was also in the original Bill and only it was not made clear whether these two specialists would be from outside the University or not. According to the recommendations of the Select Committee the two specialists should be from outside the University. I feel it is better to add the words here, in this amendment as:

"two specialists in the subject concerned selected from outside the university by the Chancellor."

I hope the Minister will agree to this.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—We have no objection.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If the words suggested are added to the amendment, we have no objection to give our approval to this amendment.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—The Leader of the Opposition has spoken. I will not take much time but for one suggestion that is necessary in this amendment. In para (b) in clause 26 it is stated that, "when appointments of Lecturers for any subject are made, the Board shall consist of . . ."

In the definition of the Bill there is no nomenclature as 'Lecturer.' If it is written as teacher, perhaps it would be proper.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That is a little difficult because there are demonstrators, etc. So, we will amend it later. I think if we say, "when appointments of teachers other than Professors and Readers," it would be all right. I will accept this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will put the clause to vote. What about the

(MR. SPEAKER.)

previous amendment moved by Sri T. Mariappa, what does the Hon'ble Member say?

SRI T. MARIAPPA.—I will withdraw it with the permission of the House.

The amendment to clause 26 moved by Sri T. Mariappa was withdrawn by leave of the House.

*SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Sir, I want to say a few words on this because this is the life of the whole Act. The amendment moved by Sri Puttaswamy in substance is identical with the amendment to the original clause as emerged from the Select Committee. As I have stated, on this clause 26 depends the future of the University and the life of the University. It is this section which enables the Chancellor to pick up the best of academicians for nurturing the young men of our country. It is therefore that this clause has attracted so much attention not only in this House but also in the Select Committee. The Hon'ble Members of the Select Committee will remember that we have spent nearly two or three days over this clause. We have achieved two things, Sir. The academic autonomy, administrative autonomy and the financial autonomy for which this measure has been put forward and that achievement is due to clause 26. Here not only the best of talent is sought to be recruited for the service in the University, but it is a selection made by themselves. Hereafter the responsibility will not be that of the Government or any other body, but of the academicians themselves who are expected to stand on their own legs according to their own lights and lead the country forward. I am sure the apprehensions which my Hon'ble friends expressed yesterday so vehemently, were very beneficial to us and had the effect of opening our eyes to the possible drawbacks that may creep in, and that has been prevented by this amendment. I am sure the University Professors, Asst. Professors and others will welcome this measure and make the best use of it.

With these words, I accept the amendment.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is:

“For Clause 26 the following new clause shall be substituted:—

“26 *The Board of Appointments.*—

(1) Appointment to the staff of the University shall be made in accordance with rules made by the Chancellor in consultation with the Syndicate.

(2) There shall be a Board of Appointments for the purpose of making appointments of Professors, Readers and Lecturers.

(a) When appointments of Professors and Readers for any subject are made, the Board shall consist of—

the Vice-Chancellor, who shall *ex-officio* be the Chairman and two Specialists in the subject concerned selected from outside the University by the Chancellor.

While making such appointments the Board shall consult—

(i) the Head of the Department for the subject concerned when an appointment for that subject is made;

(ii) the Principal, Central College, Bangalore, when an appointment for Science subjects is made, or

the principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, when an appointment for Arts subjects is made; or

the Principal of the Professional College concerned, or when there are more than one such college the seniormost of the Principals of such colleges when an appointment for professional subjects is made;

(iii) The Dean of the Faculty concerned when an appointment for the subject pertaining to that Faculty is made.

(b) When appointments of teachers other than Professors and Readers for any subject are made, the Board shall consist of—

the Vice-Chancellor, who shall *ex-officio* be the Chairman;

the Director of Public Instruction; and

the Head of the Department for the subject concerned when an appointment for that subject is made.

The Registrar shall *ex-officio* be the Secretary to the Board.

(3) The Vice-Chancellor shall be competent to make appointments of ministerial and other staff of the University.

(4) The decisions of the Board and in such cases as may be prescribed by the Chancellor, the decision of the Vice-Chancellor shall not have effect unless approved by the Chancellor; thereafter, every such decision shall be final and shall not be called in question in any manner."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That Clause 26 as amended, stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 26, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Title and Preamble The question is :

"That Clause 1 the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

There is one lacuna I observe. Clause 21 should be amended. It will be merely consequential.

Motion to pass.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Sir, I move :

"That the Mysore University Bill, 1956, as amended, be passed." In moving this motion, I must thank all the members of the House for the attention that they have bestowed in shaping this Bill and the care that they have taken, particularly the Members of the Opposition whose vehement and bitter criticism has helped us to put it in the best

form that was possible. This is one of the few measures for which in spite of the heated discussion, there has been unanimity and harmony. I should also like to bring it to the notice of this House that though there was voting, there was never a poll on any clause. Thus, Sir, it is with the unanimous will of this House and goodwill of the members that this Act is being placed on the Statute Book and I thank the Hon'ble Members for the good work that has been recorded in passing this Bill, Sir.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Sir, before voting, I want one clarification. I want to know whether this measure is going to come into force before the end of this month?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That we are considering.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That the Mysore University Bill, 1956, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE CITY AND TOWN MUNICIPALITIES (SECOND AMENDMENT) BILL, 1956.

*Sri T. CHANNAIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health).—Sir, if the House agrees, with your kind permission, I want to lay on the Table and take up the Mysore City and Town Municipalities (Second Amendment) Bill, 1956, which has been passed by the Mysore Legislative Council today.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes, you can move the consideration motion.

Motion to consider.

Sri T. CHANNAIAH.—Sir, I move :

"That the Mysore City and Town Municipalities (Second Amendment) Bill, 1956, as passed by the Legislative Council, be taken into consideration."

Sir, this is a very simple measure. Previously according to the existing